



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,423	10/31/2003	Ken G. Pomaranski	200209704-1	2068
22879 7590 01/03/2008 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER CHERRY, STEPHEN J	
			ART UNIT 2863	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 01/03/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM
mkraft@hp.com
ipa.mail@hp.com

3K

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/699,423		POMARANSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Stephen J. Cherry		2863	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 September 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 24 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3 and 6-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the following rejection, the indication of allowable subject matter in the Office Action dated 6-26-2007 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The use of the trademarked phrase as a claim limitation, 12C, renders the claim indefinite (see MPEP 2173.05(u)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, and 6-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 3,812,468 to Wollum et al.

Regarding claim 1, Wollum discloses a computer system comprising: a system module having a first interface ('468, fig. 1, including 22A and 22B); a test module having a second interface configured to communicate with the first interface ('468, col. 17, line 10, Maintenance System); a first cell having a first controller configured to communicate with the first interface and the second interface ('468, including 10A, 11A, 12A); and a second cell having a second controller configured to communicate with the first interface and the second interface ('468, including 10B, 11B, 12B); wherein the system module is configured to cause the test module to test the first cell subsequent to the second cell being allocated to a first instance of an operating system ('468, col. 4, line 40 and col. 17, line 10-18), and wherein the system module is configured to cause the first cell to be de-allocated from the first instance of the operating system prior to causing the test module to test the first cell ('468, col. 6, line 40).

Regarding claim 3, in view of the above rejection of claim 1, Wollum discloses a computer system of claim 1 wherein the system module is configured to cause the second cell to be allocated to the first instance of the operating system subsequent to causing the first cell to be de-allocated from the first instance of the operating system ('468, col. 4, line 40 and col. 17, line 10-18).

Regarding claim 6, in view of the above rejection of claim 1, Wollum discloses a computer system of claim 1 wherein the test module includes a diagnostic test, and

wherein the test module causes the first cell to be tested using the diagnostic test ('468, col. 4, line 40 and col. 17, line 10-18).

Regarding claim 7, in view of the above rejection of claim 1, Wollum discloses a computer system of claim 1 wherein the first cell includes a diagnostic test, and wherein the test module causes the first cell to be tested by initiating the diagnostic test ('468, col. 4, line 40 and col. 17, line 10-18, control language tests are transmitted to units).

Regarding claim 8, in view of the above rejection of claim 1, Wollum discloses a computer system of claim 1 wherein the test module is configured to detect an error in response to testing the first cell, and wherein the test module is configured to cause remedial action associated with the error to be performed in response to detecting the error ('468, col. 4, line 40):

Regarding claim 9, in view of the above rejection of claim 1, Wollum discloses a computer system of claim 1 wherein the second interface of the test module couples to the first controller of the first cell using a connection ('468, fig. 1, connections to 10A, 11A and 12A).

Regarding claim 10, in view of the above rejection of claim 1, Wollum discloses a computer system of claim 1 wherein the first cell comprises a

processing system ('468, including 10A).

Regarding claim 11, in view of the above rejection of claim 1, Wollum discloses a computer system of claim 1 wherein the first cell comprises a storage system ('468, including 12A).

Regarding claim 12, in view of the above rejection of claim 1, Wollum discloses a computer system of claim 1 wherein the first cell comprises an input/output (I/O) system ('468, including 11A).

Regarding claim 13, in view of the above rejection of claim 1, Wollum discloses a computer system of claim 1 wherein the system module is configured to allocate the first cell to a second instance of the operating system subsequent to the test module testing the first cell ('468, col. 4, line 40 and col. 17, line 10-18, and fig. 7, which indicates reconfiguration as an ongoing process).

Regarding claim 14, Wollum discloses a method performed by a computer system comprising:
detecting that a first cell that is allocated to an operating system is to be tested (468, col. 6, line 26-31); de-allocating the first cell from the operating system ('468, col. 6, line 40); allocating a second cell to the operating system subsequent to de-allocating the first cell from the operating system ('468, col. 4, line 46); and testing the first cell with a

Art Unit: 2863

test module that is external to the first cell subsequent to de-allocating the first cell from the operating system ('468, col. 4, line 40 and col. 17, line 10-18).

Regarding claim 15, in view of the above rejection of claim 14, Wollum discloses a method of claim 14 further comprising: detecting that the first cell is to be tested by determining a time that the first cell was previously tested ('468, col. 6, line 29, inherent to calculate measure of time to effect "periodic basis").

Regarding claim 16, in view of the above rejection of claim 14, Wollum discloses a method of claim 14 further comprising: detecting that the first cell is to be tested by detecting a scheduled time ('468, col. 6, line 29).

Regarding claim 17, in view of the above rejection of claim 14, Wollum discloses a method of claim 14 further comprising: storing results of testing the first cell ('468, col. 17, line 16, tests performed by control language inherently store results into computer performing the steps of the control language).

Regarding claim 18, in view of the above rejection of claim 14, Wollum discloses a method of claim 14 further comprising: allocating the first cell to the operating system subsequent to testing the cell ("468, col. 16, line 59).

Art Unit: 2863

Regarding claim 19, Wollum discloses a system comprising: a first cell allocated to an operating system ('468, including 10A, 11A, 12A); a first means for de-allocating the first cell from the operating system ('468, col. 6, line 40); a second means for allocating a second cell to the operating system subsequent to de-allocating the first cell from the operating system ('468, col. 4, line 40 and col. 17, line 10-18); and a third means external to the first cell for testing the first cell subsequent to the first cell being de-allocated from the operating system ('468, col. 4, line 40 and col. 17, line 10-18).

Regarding claim 20, in view of the above rejection of claim 19, Wollum discloses a system of claim 19 wherein the third means is for performing electrical tests on the first cell ('468, col. 4, line 40 and col. 17, line 10-18).

Regarding claim 21, in view of the above rejection of claim 19, Wollum discloses a system of claim 19 wherein the third means is for performing functional tests on the first cell ('468, col. 4, line 40 and col. 17, line 10-18).

Regarding claim 22, in view of the above rejection of claim 19, Wollum discloses a system of claim 19 wherein the first means is for causing the third means to test the first cell ('468, col. 4, line 40 and col. 17, line 10-18).

Regarding claim 23, in view of the above rejection of claim 19, Wollum discloses a system of claim 19 wherein the third means is for detecting an error in the first cell in

Art Unit: 2863

response to testing the first cell, and wherein the third means is for causing remedial action to be taken in response to detecting the error ('468, col. 4, line 40 and col. 17, line 10-18, isolating possessing group).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 24 is allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claim 24 discloses" wherein the system module is configured to cause the test module to test the first cell subsequent to the second cell being allocated to a first instance of an operating system, and wherein the system module is configured to cause the test module to test the first cell in response to accessing a list that identifies floating cells". This feature, in combination with additional claimed subject matter, overcomes the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 4 discloses" wherein the system module is configured to cause the test module to test the first cell in response to accessing a list that identifies floating cells". This feature, in combination with additional claimed subject matter, overcomes the prior art of record.

Claim 5 discloses" wherein the system module is configured to cause the test module to test the first cell in response to accessing a list that identifies cells allocated to the first instance of the operating system". This feature, in combination with additional claimed subject matter, overcomes the prior art of record.

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Cherry whose telephone number is (571) 272-2272. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2863

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SJC



John Barlow
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800